UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,760	01/29/2004	Tom Minh Miner	5434-16	7041
	7590 11/23/200 et, VP & Chief IP Cou	EXAMINER		
Becton, Dickins	son and Company	OSINSKI, BRADLEY JAMES		
(Cohen Pontani Lieberman & Pavane) 1 Becton Drive, MC 110 Franklin Lakes, NJ 07417-1880			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/768,760	MINH MINER ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
BRADLEY J. OSINSKI	3767	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Rev	iew filed 5 October 2009.
 Improper Request – The Regression (s): 	Request is improper ar	nd a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has☐ The request does not incl☐ A proposed amendment if☐ Other:	lude reasons why a re	
The time period for filing a responthe mail date of the last Office con		om the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from ice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal brobrief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	der appeal because the rief in accordance with In from mailing this dec stice of appeal, whiche IT CFR 1.136 based u	Gerences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been ere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ission, or the balance of the two-month time period ver is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the boon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-55. Claim(s) withdrawn from cor		nim(s) is as follows:
		held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of nains closed. No further action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A capacition will be mailed. No further a		eld. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office pplicant at this time.
All participants:		
(1) <u>BRADLEY J. OSINSKI</u> .		(3) <u>GREG VIDOVICH</u> .
(2) <u>KEVIN SIRMONS</u> .		(4)
/Bradley J Osinski/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767	/Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent E Unit 3767	xaminer, Art